

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | · CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|
| 09/838,884 | 04/20/2001 | Mark D. Levitt | 103-1345USI1 | 3284 |
| 7: | 590 06/13/2003 | | | |
| David R. Cleveland | | | EXAMINER . | |
| IPLM Group P.O. Box 18455 | | | AHMED, SHEEBA | |
| Minneapolis, MN 55418 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1773 | |
| · | | | DATE MAILED: 06/13/2003 | • • |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | AS- | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 09/838,884 | LEVITT ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Sheeba Ahmed | 1773 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the | correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror cause the application to become ABANDON | mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) 7his | — · is action is non-final. | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under a Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/are pending in the application | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or e | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | • | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | |
| a) All b) Some * c) None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | |
| application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal | ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| I.S. Patent and Trademark Office | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/838,884

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
- Claims 1-19, drawn to a coated substrate, classified in class 428, subclass
 411.1+.
- II. Claims 20-27, drawn to two coating compositions contained in a kit, classified in class 528, subclass 288+.
- III. Claims 28-35, drawn to a method for applying a finish to a substrate, i.e., coating a substrate, classified in class 427, subclass 532+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as a coating composition kit wherein the top coating composition is applied to a substrate before the intermediate coating composition and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the

Application/Control Number: 09/838,884

Trechtion ramber: 05/000;0

Art Unit: 1773

examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the laminate or coated substrate can be made by a different process. For example, the laminate can be made by adhesively laminating the intermediate and overcoat layers to the substrate instead of directly applying the intermediate coating to the substrate or directly applying the overcoat to the intermediate coating.

Inventions II and III are related as composition and process of using the composition. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the composition as claimed can be practiced with another materially different composition or (2) the composition as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that composition (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the composition can be used in a process wherein the overcoat composition is applied prior to the intermediate composition and hence the composition can be used in a different process.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/838,884

Art Unit: 1773

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 4

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheeba Ahmed whose telephone number is (703)305-0594. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Thursdays from 8am to 6pm.

Sheeba Ahmed Art Unit 1773

June 12, 2003

٠. . .